INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY



WATERMARK PATENT & TRADEMARK ATTORNEYS		PCT			
		WRITTEN OPINION			
					Locked Bag 5
HAWTHORN VIC 3122		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	5 FEB 2004		
Applicant's or agent's file reference		REPLY DUE	within TWO MONTHS		
p21480pcau			from the above date of mailing		
International Application No.	International Filing Da	te (day/month/year)	Priority Date (day/month/year)		
PCT/AU2003/000762 19 June 2003			21 June 2002		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or	both national classific	ation and IPC			
Int. Cl. ⁷ A23K 1/14, 1/18					
Applicant					
MARS INCORPORATED et al					
	,				
1. This written opinion is the first draw	wn by this Internation	al Preliminary Evamir	ning Authority		
		•	mig Audiority.		
 This opinion contains indications relating I X Basis of the opinion 	ig to the following ite	ms:.			
<u> </u>					
II Priority	•				
III Non-establishment of opinion w	ith regard to novelty, in	ventive step and industr	ial applicability		
IV Lack of unity of invention					
V X Reasoned statement under Rule explanations supporting such sta	66.2(a)(ii) with regard t	o novelty, inventive step	or industrial applicability; citations and		
VI Certain documents cited					
VII Certain defects in the internation	Certain defects in the international application				
VIII Certain observations on the inter	mational application				
 The FINAL DATE by which the internation October 2004 	al preliminary examina	tion report must be estab	lished according to Rule 69.2 is:		
4. The applicant is hereby invited to reply	to this opinion.				
(i) a response being filed, or (ii) o be established. The Report will tal If no response is filed by 1 month the basis of this opinion. Applicants wishing to have the be	ne month before the Fink into account any resp before the Final Date on the final Date of a further opinion.	nal Date by which the in conse (including amendre, the international prelin on (if needed) before the	vill not establish the Report before the earlier of iternational preliminary examination report must ments) filed before the Report is established minary examination report will be established on report is established should ensure that a nal preliminary examination report must be		
How? By submitting a written reply, acc. For the form and the language of t	By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.				
Also For an additional opportunity to so For the examiner's obligation to co For an informal communication w	onsider amendments and	l/or arguments, see Rule	e 66.4bis.		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU		Authorized Officer	•		
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE					

PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929

JAMIE TURNER

Telephone No. (02) 6283 2071



International application No. PCT/AU2003/000762

Basis of the opinion With regard to the elements of the international application:* the international application as originally filed. the description, as originally filed, pages, filed with the demand, pages, received on with the letter of pages, the claims, pages, as originally filed, as amended under Article 19, pages, filed with the demand, pages, pages, received on with the letter of the drawings, pages, as originally filed, filed with the demand, pages, received on with the letter of the sequence listing part of the description: pages as originally filed filed with the demand pages pages, received on with the letter of With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is: the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3). With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written opinion was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in printed form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: the description, pages the claims, Nos. the drawings, sheets/fig. This opinion has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). * Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed"



international application No.

PCT/AU2003/000762

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims 1-7, 10-16	YES
	Claims 8-9	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 1-7, 10-16	YES
	Claims 8-9	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-16	YES
•	Claims	NO

2. Citations and explanations

The following documents, first raised in the corresponding International Search Report, are referred to as follows:

D1 - US 4 514 094 (See Example XXVI) \\ \text{D2 - US 4 514 431 (See Example XXVI)} \\ \text{Z00.} \/ \rightarrow \\ \text{90.} \/ \\

D3 - US 4 081 565 (See column 8, paragraph 4; Examples XIII and XXIV)

D4 - US 4 076 852 (See column 9, paragraph 1; Example L)

The invention the subject of the present claims relates to a vegetarian pet food comprising a non-meat based flavour-enhancing additive which includes hydrolyzed vegetable protein and xylose, wherein the ratio of hydrolyzed vegetable protein to xylose is between 15:1 and 40:1 (claim 1). It further relates to a flavour-enhancing additive for pet foods comprising hydrolyzed vegetable protein and xylose, wherein the ratio of hydrolyzed vegetable protein to xylose is between 15:1 and 40:1 (claims 8-9).

None of the above cited art relates to a vegetarian pet food as claimed in claim 1. Hence, claim 1 and claims appended thereto are considered novel. It is evident that the claims could not be considered obvious when compared with any of these documents, either alone or in combination. Hence, claim 1 and claims appended thereto are considered to fulfil the requirements of inventive step as well.

Each of documents D1-D4, however, do disclose flavour additives comprising both hydrolyzed vegetable protein and xylose in the requisite proportions. While these flavour additives are not deigned for use as vegetarian pet food flavour additives, they could, nevertheless, fulfil this role. Hence, the flavour additives disclosed in D1-D4 are considered to prejudicial to both the novelty and inventive step of claims to the pet food flavouring additive, namely claims 8-9.